



MOMENTUM

From Project to Product Organizations

Why modern enterprises must shift from temporary project teams to standing product ownership modules

Kendra Castanzo
Consultant



Momentum, Inc.

717.214.8000

www.m-inc.com



Table of Contents

Executive Summary3

Overview.....3

The Challenge.....4

Momentum Perspective.....4

Implications.....5

Key Takeaways.....6

About The Author6

About Momentum6

Executive Summary

In a business landscape defined by continuous disruption, organizations can no longer assume that work has a definitive end. Organizations are operating in fast-moving environments with increasing pressure to deliver quality while adapting to constant change.

Technology advances, employee retention wanes, customer expectations evolve, regulatory requirements shift, and internal capabilities expand. Under these conditions, a project is rarely *finished*, even when the deadline passes. This creates a critical gap; delivery models built for short-term outputs are misaligned with the need for sustained value, adaptability, and ownership.

This Insight highlights the need for a fundamental shift from short-term deliverables to standing product teams with long-term accountability and an emphasis on continuous value creation, knowledge development, and collaboration as core indicators of success. In fact, this shift is already underway. According to the Project Management Institute (2023), 68% of organizations are transitioning to product-based delivery models to improve speed and adaptability, while Gartner (2023) finds that organizations retaining long-term knowledge see 35% higher ROI on technology investments.

This brief outlines why traditional models fall short and how product-based operating models better support continuous delivery and long-term value. It serves as a practical guide for organizations modernizing how work gets done in an environment where change is constant and value must be sustained.

Overview

The way organizations deliver work is evolving. Historically, projects have been the primary mechanism for executing change, defined by fixed timelines, scoped deliverables, and temporary teams. This model worked well in more stable environments where business needs were predictable, perhaps following a waterfall approach, and technology cycles were slower.

Today, that context has fundamentally changed. Digital platforms specifically require ongoing enhancement. Customer expectations evolve in real time. This simply means products and services must be maintained, improved, and adapted over months and in some cases, years. At its core, this transition moves from delivering work to owning outcomes.

This shift extends beyond IT into enterprise-wide, customer-centric operating models as leadership responds to competitive pressure, speed-to-market demands, costly handoffs, and growing concerns about sustainable ROI.

Instead of forming teams around temporary initiatives, organizations establish standing, cross-functional teams aligned to products or customer journeys. These teams are accountable not just for delivery, but for the ongoing performance, adoption, and evolution of what they build.

As a result, organizations are rethinking how work is structured, funded, and sustained.

The Challenge

Despite growing awareness, many organizations remain anchored in project-based models that create persistent barriers to performance:

1. Knowledge Fragmentation

When temporary teams disband, critical context and expertise are lost. New teams face a learning curve and must become familiar with systems, decisions, and dependencies—leading to inefficiencies, delays, and inconsistent quality.

2. Misaligned Incentives

Project success is typically measured by scope, schedule, and budget adherence. This encourages teams to optimize for completion rather than long-term performance, customer outcomes, or product health.

3. Funding Rigidity

Traditional funding models allocate resources to discrete initiatives, limiting the ability to pivot based on new insights, growth, or changing priorities. This constrains innovation and slows responsiveness.

4. Organizational Silos

Teams are often structured around functions rather than customer value, creating handoffs, dependencies, and unclear ownership. This fragmentation reduces accountability and slows delivery.

Together, these challenges create an environment where work is repeatedly restarted, ownership is diffused, and merit is difficult to sustain. Moving to a product-based model is not a structural tweak. It is a form of shapeshifting in how organizations operate. Teams become stable, not temporary.

Momentum Perspective

Our approach meets clients where they are, often still operating in project-based models and helps them transition incrementally to product-based ways of working. We bring together strategic planning, organizational change support, product leadership coaching, and operational design to help clients shift from short-term

project execution to long-term product value creation. We recognize most organizations are operating in a hybrid state. Rather than forcing a full product model from day one, we help clients navigate that transition intentionally, aligning structure, funding, and roles over time.

We focus on outcomes that matter: reducing delivery churn, retaining institutional knowledge, and creating continuity across teams and initiatives. We don't simply assemble product teams and walk away; we work alongside our clients to embed sustainable practices and ensure adoption takes hold.

Our approach emphasizes:

- Clear adoption strategies that support behavioral and organizational change
- Product Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to quantify business value
- Standing team structures that maintain momentum and minimize knowledge loss
- Cultures that are equipped to continuously evolve and improve

Implications

Organizations that underestimate the cultural shift may encounter resistance, particularly at the management level. Funding model changes and role clarity can also create friction if not intentionally managed through strong change leadership and communication.

Discomfort may arise from loss of control, role ambiguity, or shifts in funding models. Addressing these challenges requires intentional leadership and a willingness to redefine how value is measured and sustained. As organizations make this shift, the implications are most visible across three interconnected areas: structure, funding, and culture.

Structural Implications

Leaders set the vision while cross-functional teams determine how to achieve it, shifting structures to mirror customer journeys instead of internal departments. Managers evolve from directing tasks to building skills, coaching teams, and developing long-term capabilities.

Financial Implications

Funding transitions from one-time project allocations to sustained investment in product lines. Success metrics shift from budget adherence to measures such as ROI, customer lifetime value, total cost of ownership, and product adoption. Stable

teams are maintained, with new priorities flowing to them rather than reorganizing resources for each initiative.

Cultural Implications

Teams embrace a mindset of continuous improvement, focusing on outcomes rather than deliverables and evaluating success based on how solutions perform and evolve over time. Ownership becomes long-term and universal, fostering accountability and pride.

Key Takeaways

Moving from projects to products requires more than structural change, it demands new measures of success and behaviors. The points below highlight the critical enablers, common pitfalls, and outcomes of getting the transition right.

- **Shift from delivery to ownership:** Fund and staff stable, cross-functional product teams accountable for long-term outcomes—not project completion.
- **Critical success factor:** Persistent teams that retain knowledge, measure success through business value, and use continuous customer and data feedback.
- **Watch out (common pitfall):** Partial adoption—hybrid project/product models that preserve old funding, metrics, or control structures create friction and dilute value.
- **Design for adaptability:** Align funding, metrics, and governance to products so teams can evolve solutions as customer needs and market conditions change.
- **Expected outcome:** Higher quality solutions, faster response to change, reduced delivery churn, client retention, and sustained ROI through improved product performance.

About The Author

Kendra Castanzo is a Momentum consultant who has supported Enterprise Case Management System communications and product and service management initiatives for the Pennsylvania Office of Medical Assistance Programs. She brings more than 30 years of experience planning, developing, and executing targeted collateral and campaign initiatives across all communications platforms. With a proven track record in product management, content development, interviewing, feature and technical writing, and publication management, she understands the importance of collaboration and professional development. Her background spans healthcare, state government, nonprofits, education, and professional services.

About Momentum

Momentum Inc. is a management and technology consulting firm focused on helping organizations move forward with clarity and confidence. We combine technology expertise with a people-first approach to deliver transformation that is practical, sustainable, and aligned to real business outcomes.

Our core service areas include:

- Management Consulting
- Process Improvement
- Product/Project Management
- Implementation Support

We partner with clients across public and private sector organizations to bring structure, clarity, and execution discipline to initiatives ranging from strategy through implementation.

For more information about this topic or to learn more about Momentum, Inc., please contact:

Address: **Momentum, Inc.**
3314 Market Street, Suite 100
Camp Hill, PA 17011
Phone: (717) 214-8000
Fax: (717) 214-8004
E-Mail: momentum@m-inc.com
Website: www.m-inc.com

